IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 212 of 2002 (M/B)

Anand Bagwari,
S/o Sarayu Prasad Bagwari,
District Government Counsel (Civil),
District Rudraprayag. …….. Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal,
   through Secretary,
   Law and Justice, Govt. of Uttaranchal,
   Dehradun.
2. Legal Rememberancer,
   Govt. of Uttaranchal,
   Dehradun.
3. District Magistrate,
   Rudraprayag.
4. District Judge,
   Rudraprayag. …… Respondents

Mr. Pankaj Purohit, Advocate for the petitioner.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon. Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon. P.C. Verma, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Pankaj Purohit, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition, now has become infructuous.

2. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed as infructuous.

(P.C. Verma, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)**
17.02.2006 **17.02.2006**

G